

1960

23rd December 1960

Miss Coddington
Acting Librarian

SCIENCE COMMITTEE

Dear Miss Coddington,

At its meeting on 13th December the SCIENCE COMMITTEE referred to your letter of 19th May to the Secretary in which a decision on the matter of having all current journals in Science transferred to the new Reading Room in the Science Building was deferred pending the opening of the new Reading Room.

Now that this room is functioning the committee would like to raise this matter again as it is strongly of the opinion that such a move would be very beneficial.

Yours sincerely,

R. D. Connor,
Secretary,
Science Committee.

RDC:bdh

23rd December 1960

Dr. W. J. Waines
Dean of Arts and Science

SCIENCE COMMITTEE

On Tuesday, 13th December, the Science Committee met to discuss, among other items, the matters referred to it by the Faculty Council which met on 6th December.

A very thorough examination of the IV G programme and of the new proposals by the Chemistry Department resulted in the unanimous passing of two Motions.

- (1) that the double sequency in Chemistry be approved.
- (2) that the IV G programme be not introduced in Science.

G. E. Dunn,
Chairman,
SCIENCE COMMITTEE

bdh

MINUTES of the Science Committee Meeting, Thursday 6th October 1960
at 4:30 p.m.

PRESENT Professors Dunn (Chairman), A. N. Campbell, Kartzmark,
Gesser, Funt, Dahlstrom, Robinson, Coish, Weisman,
McLeod, Stewart-Hay, Connor and Hawirko.

The Minutes of the meeting of 8th April were approved. Attention was drawn to Professor Standing's motion on 23rd March 1960 moving that all current journals in Science be transferred to the new Reading Room in the Armes Building.

Miss Coddington's reply indicating that the Library Committee thought this matter could wait pending the opening of the room was considered.

Dr. Coish stressed the need to have the current journals in the Reading Room.

On the motion of Dr. Campbell (sec. Coish) the meeting unanimously reaffirmed its conviction that all current journals in the appropriate departments of science should be kept in the new Reading Room.

Sections of the records of previous meetings were read giving the size of the Reading Room. Professor Dunn reviewed the arrangements for the room and the President's letter on the use of the room was read.

It was agreed 4 to 3 with 5 abstentious to ask the President to include a hand rail in the Reading Room to divide the staff and student areas.

Adjournment 5:10 P.M.

27th October 1960

President H. H. Saunderson

Science Committee

At a recent Science Committee meeting the plans for the new reading room in the Armes Building were reviewed in the light of your memorandum of 29th July addressed to the undersigned.

It was agreed that the meeting of 2nd June had erred in suggesting that the room be reserved for honours, graduate students and staff in view of the earlier decisions.

Professor Dunn has discussed with you the reasons leading to this suggestion.

The only outstanding item is the nature of the division in the room. Professor Dunn recalled that you had suggested a hand rail. The committee thought that this would be most appropriate. May we ask that you issue the necessary instructions that this be done ?

R. D. Connor
Secretary
Science Committee

RDC:bdh

THE UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA
INTER-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

DATE July 29, 1960

TO Professor R. D. Connor FROM H. H. Saunderson - President
Department of Physics
SUBJECT SCIENCE BUILDING READING ROOM

Miss Coddington showed me today the minutes of a meeting of Heads of Science Departments held on June 2nd to discuss the arrangements in the Science Reading Room. One of the minuted notes was that there should be no partition in this room and that it would be used only by staff, graduate and honours students.

If your minute refers to the entire reading room on the north east corner of the Armes Building, it is quite contrary to the recommendation made by the sub-committee when planning for the new Science buildings was at an early stage.

That recommendation was that there should be two areas, adjacent to each other, the larger one of some 3,000 square feet for a student study room and a smaller one of perhaps 1,200 to 1,500 square feet for a staff and graduate student browsing room to hold recent journals and some of the newer books in pure science. This recommendation was approved. The big room in the Armess Building was designed to serve both purposes, and the only question remaining is the nature of the division and the arrangement of the furnishings.

HHS/EMc.

CC-Miss K. Coddington-Library
Mr. W. J. Condo-Comptroller

June 13, 1960

Miss Coddington
Acting Librarian

Science Committee

Reading Room - New Science Buildings

I enclose a copy of the minutes of a meeting held with all interested and available Heads of Departments on Tuesday, June 2nd, 1960. The outlined plans were generally acceptable as will be seen from the minutes.

R. D. Connor
Secretary
SCIENCE COMMITTEE

RDC:bdh

Encs

SCIENCE COMMITTEE

Meeting of Chairmen of Departments on the Reading Room in New Lecture Block

Present: Professors B. G. Whitmore, A. N. Campbell, J. A. McLeod,
S. M. Neamtan, T. M. B. Payne, G. E. Dunn and R. D. Connor.

Professor Dunn reviewed the history of the reading room and showed the outline plan.

After some discussion, on the motion of Professor Neamtan it was agreed that: (1) there should be no partition in this room, and
(2) that the use of the room be restricted to staff, graduate and honours students.

A third motion, that only advanced text books be sent over from the main Library was subsequently dropped as it was felt too difficult to arrange for this at present.

It was agreed that keys should be issued to staff members only for the present.

The current periodicals are not to be removed from the reading room, but books may be borrowed. For graduate and honours students the hours of the reading room would be 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.

June 2. 1960.

THE UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA
INTER-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

DATE May 19, 1960.

TO Prof. R. D. Connor,
Physics Department.

FROM Miss Kathleen Coddington,
Acting Librarian.

SUBJECT _____

Dear Professor Connor:

I have your letter of April 7 outlining the request of the Science Committee with regard to current periodicals, both presently and in the Reading Room of the New Science Building.

It is certainly desirable that current literature be available for staff members to browse through, but unfortunately we are very short of display space in the Periodicals Department and the number of periodicals in all fields increase each year. However, Miss Sparling tells me that she will be happy to supply any issues shelved in the workroom for use in the Periodicals Reading Room.

The motion to have all current journals in science transferred for display in the Reading Room of the New Science Building was brought up at the last meeting of the Library Committee, and the Committee thought that a decision on this matter might wait until the Science Reading Room is opened and the duplicate periodicals are displayed.

The subscriptions received at the Main Library will continue to be the binding copy and it is important that the routing of these issues be controlled. I am sure that details of maximum service and satisfaction can be worked out when the Science Reading Room is in operation.

Yours sincerely,

Kathleen Coddington
Kathleen Coddington,
Acting Librarian.

KC/mn

7th April 1960

The Librarian, University

Science Committee

At a meeting of the Science Committee on March 23, 1960 I was directed to write to you on the matter of the display of current periodicals.

At present, many of the current issues are not displayed but are housed in a separate room in the Library to which the faculty has no direct access. This is no doubt a matter of available floor space for I am sure that the ideal situation is one in which every current issue is displayed so that the staff member browsing through the current issues is brought into contact with all the available literature.

At this meeting, a motion was passed unanimously requesting that all current journals in science be transferred for display to the new Reading Room in the New Science building, this referring to periodicals other than those duplicated for this Reading Room. The issue displayed would be returned to the main library on its replacement by the next issue.

I should be glad if you would raise this matter with the Library Committee and give it your support.

Yours faithfully,



R. D. Connor,
Secretary, Science Committee.

APRIL 5, 1960

Minutes of Science Committee Meeting

The meeting was called to order at 4:00 P.M.

Present: Professors Dunn (chairman), Connor (Secretary), Waygood, Douglas, Whitmore, Kelly, Gesser, Wiseman, Kettner, Hogg, Neamtan, Isaac, Walker, Coish, Dahlstrom, Cooke, Campbell, Kartzmark, Charlesworth, Bock, Schaffer, Brisbin, Ritchie.

The minutes of the meeting of March 23rd were read and approved with a minor correction.

The chairman reported that the Physics members of the Radiation Committee were Connor and Dahlstrom and that the Chemistry member was Funt.

Dr. Kelly introduced the matter of Parking Fees and reviewed changes made by several other firms and institutions all of which were less than \$20.

After some discussion of the matter, Dr. Whitmore remarked that there had been no attempt to justify the 100% increase the attitude being that it is presumptuous to challenge any such notice. He regretted the manner in which the increase had been communicated to the staff. Dr. Whitmore then introduced the attached motion which was seconded by Dr. A. N. Campbell and was passed unanimously. The officers of the committee were instructed to forward the motion to the Faculty Council, the Staff Association and the President.

The question of examination supervision was then raised but was not taken further when Dr. Whitmore suggested that this be left to the Examination Committee.

The meeting adjourned at 4:45 P.M.

(As the Faculty Council forwarded the motion to the President, the Science Committee officers did not do so, nor did they advise the Staff Association of the motion as this was outside the terms of reference of the committee which must deal through Faculty Council.)

This meeting of the Science Committee

(a) is of the opinion that though the overall cost of supplying electric power for heating parked cars is a proper charge against the individual concerned, the cost of providing parking space as such should not be so charged.

(b) finds it difficult to see what change in the economic or other situation of the University could justify a sudden increase of 100% in any charge, and

(c) very much regrets the curt courtesy, and lack of explanation, with which the decision to increase parking charges was communicated to the staff.

THE UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA
INTER-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

DATE 1st April 1960

TO Professor Dunn - Chemistry FROM _____
SUBJECT _____ Science Committee _____

WE REQUEST THAT YOU CALL A MEETING OF THE SCIENCE COMMITTEE BEFORE APRIL 7th (FACULTY COUNCIL) TO PROTEST THE INCREASE IN THE PARKING FEES*.

B. G. Hoag.
D. Douglas
H. Lesser

F. M. Kelly
K. S. Stane
A. N. Campbell

Meeting called 5th April. 4 P.M.

R.D.

This meeting of the Science Committee^(a) is of
the opinion that

(a) though the overall cost of supplying
electric power for heating parked cars is a
proper charge against the individual concerned,
~~the provision of parking space as such should~~
(cost of providing)
not be so charged

(b) Finds it difficult to see what change
in the economic or other situation of the
University could justify a sudden increase
of 10% in any charge, and

(c) Very much regrets the cut discussions,
and lack of explanation, with which the
decision to increase parking charges was
communicated to the staff

3c

RDC

MINUTES OF THE SCIENCE COMMITTEE MEETING

March 23rd, 1960.

The meeting was called to order at 4.05 p.m. in Room 207 of the Science Building.

Present - Profs. G.E. Dunn (Chairman) R.D. Connor, Secretary, D.G. Douglas, H. Gesser, H. Weisman, J.A. McLeod, D.R. Franklin, B.G. Whitmore, C.E. Dahlstrom, S.I.H. Naqui, B. Hogg, S. Standiford, I. Cooke, R. Hawirko, G.R. Waygood, F.M. Kelly, E.M. Kartzmark, N. Campbell, and E.I. Sillman.

The Minutes of the April 7th meeting were read and approved.

The Chairman reviewed the history of the Radiation Committee, pointing out some weaknesses in its organisation in the light of the Minutes of past meetings. After some discussion, on the motion of Prof. Kelly seconded by Prof. McLeod, it was agreed to set up a Committee of five, consisting of

- (1) the chairman of the Science Committee,
- (2) one representative from Physics,
- (3) one representative from Chemistry,
- (4) one representative from the older Science buildings,
- (5) one representative from the Cyclotron Group.

This committee was to elect its own chairman.
Members (2) and (5) were to be nominated by the Chairman of the Physics Dept; Member (3) to be nominated by the Chairman of the Chemistry Dept., and No. (4) to be elected by the Science Committee, annually. On the nomination of Prof. McLeod, Prof. Brownell was elected unanimously as Member (4).

Prof. Connor outlined the work of the Radiation Committee for the next few months under the following headings:-

- (1) /

2.

- (1) to arrange and conduct the transfer of active material from the present to the new Science buildings;
- (2) to arrange for the disposal of the accumulation of active waste;
- (3) to monitor the present Science building prior to its being taken over by the new occupants and to ensure that it is free from contamination;
- (4) to ensure that the active material is in suitable containers and properly shielded.

Dr. Hogg suggested that labourers should remove the activity.

Prof. Waygood suggested that the radiation committee be authorised to co-opt members of staff to effect the move; a suggestion to which Prof. Weisman objected. Prof. Whitmore said that the Radiation Committee should organise and plan the move but that the Physics staff would be responsible for the actual removal itself.

The attached motion of Profs. Franklin and Sillman seconded by Prof. Kelly was introduced and approved - the motion to be passed to the Radiation Committee for action.

Prof. Standifil was nominated unanimously as Senate representative in Science.

It was suggested that the officers of the Science Committee should attend the Faculty Council Meetings in order to submit reports even though they be nil returns. The Chairman instructed the Secretary to attend the Faculty Council to give these reports.

Prof. /

Prof. Kelly raised the matter of the hour of the first class pointing out that as St. John's no longer had Morning Chapel the hour could very well be advanced from 8.30 to 8.40, particularly as he was troubled with students arriving late. On the motion of Prof. Kelly, seconded by Prof. Hogg, the Secretary was directed to write to the Dean to ask if he would consider recommending this change as soon as possible - carried. (This motion was subsequently withdrawn on it being learned that in fact St. John's had a very active Chapel meeting at 9.20 each morning. No action taken).

Prof. Standing raised the matter of current Journals in the library which were locked away in a separate room to which the staff had no direct access, save for the few displayed in the racks near the door of the Periodicals room. He moved that all current journals in ~~Physics~~^{Science} be transferred to the new ~~Reading~~ Room in the new building, this referring to periodicals other than those duplicated for this reading room. This motion was seconded by Prof. Cooke and carried unanimously.

The meeting was adjourned by 5.05 p.m.

THE UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA

WINNIPEG, CANADA

March 9th, 1960

NOTICE OF MEETING

A meeting of the Science Committee will be held on Wednesday, March 23rd, 1960, at 4:00 P.M. in Rm 207 Science Building.

Agenda:-

- 1) Reading of the minutes
- 2) Regularization of the Radiation Committee
- 3) Plans for the removal of active material to the new buildings and the disposal of active waste
- 4) Other business

Yours very truly,

R. D. Connor

R. D. Connor, Secretary,
SCIENCE COMMITTEE.

MOTION TO BE SUBMITTED jointly by Drs. Franklin and Sillman, Zoology,
at Science Council Meeting, March 23, 1960. SECONDED by Dr. F.M. Kelly,
Physics.

THAT THE RADIATION COMMITTEE investigate the advisability of extension of
the existing regulations for Procurement, Handling and Disposal of Radio-
active Materials in the Science Buildings and report their recommendations
to the Science Council at the next regular meeting. Specifically we
suggest the extension should include:

1. Determination and statement of an acceptable maximum level of radia-
tion in the vicinity of the radioactive storage rooms.
2. A statement outlining the responsibility of any person procuring a
source when his experimental need for that source has terminated.
This statement should include regulations for disposal, and the
imposition of a time limit within which such disposal must take place
after termination of a project in which that source was used.
3. Provision for a periodic review of the regulations to determine the
advisability of any changes therein.

1. Bull Am. Soc. Petroleum Geologists.
2. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
3. Trans. Am. Fisheries Soc.
4. Am. J. of Botany.
5. Trans. Am. Microscopical Soc. — none
6. Analytical abstracts
7. The Analyst.
8. Annals of App. Biology.
9. Applied Microbiology.
10. Archives of Biochemical & Biophysics
11. Biochen Journal
12. Botanical Gazette.
13. Bot. Revue. — one
14. Bull. at. Scientists.
15. Can J. Biochem & Physiology.
16. Can. J. Botany.
17. Can J. Microbiology.
18. Can J. Zoo.
19. Can. Mining Journal.
20. Chem. Abstracts
21. J. Chem. Soc.
22. Proc. Chem. Soc.
23. Chemische Berichte.
24. Current Chem. papers.
25. Economic Geology.
26. Trans. Faraday Soc.
27. Geochemistry to cosmochemistry Acta.
28. Bull. Geol. Soc. of Am.
29. Geoscience abstracts. — none
30. Helv. Phys. Acta.
31. Journal of Bacteriology
32. Journal of Biological chem.
33. J. Chem Edⁿ
34. J. Expt. Botany
35. J. Gen. Microbiology.
36. J. Geology.

37. J. Organic Chem.
38 J. Parasitology.
39 J. S. I
40. Min abstracts.
41 Min magazine. - none.
42 Nature
43 Nuclear Phys
44 Phil Mag.
45 Phys Rev.
46 Phys Rev Letters
47. Proc Phys Soc
48. Physics abstracts.
49. Physiologia Plantarum
50 Physiological Zoology.
51 Plant Soil
52. Plant Physiology.
53 Prog in theor Phys
54 Q & S in microbial scene.
55 Rev Mod Phys.
56 R. S. I
57 Science
58 Scientific American.
59 Soil Science.
60 Proc. Soil Science Soc. of Amer.
61 stain technology
62 Virology.
63 Zentralblatt für Bakteriologie.