

April 27, 1971.

Fifth Meeting

May 14, 1971

Minutes of the fifth meeting of Faculty Council held on Monday, April 27, 1971 at 2:40 p.m. in Room 207 Buller Building.

Members Present: Dean R. D. Connor, Chairman; Professors H. C. Williams R. A. Kingsley, P. Collens, E. R. Waygood, J. Reid, R. Stanton, R. Bochonko, J. M. Wells, D. Punter, B. R. Irvine, F. W. J. Davis, W. G. Barker, R. M. Lyric, J. E. Dubois, C. Abraham, P. R. King, J. C. Muzio, B. Beach, G. McMaster, B. G. Browning, N. S. Mendelsohn, A. H. Morrish, R. Ferguson, J. M. Vail, G. Paul, B. Kale, B. Macpherson, W. H. Kleiner, G. F. Atkinson, B. Johnston, J. J. McCutcheon, P. Kakela, F. Konopasek, B. Whitmore, D. H. Hall, D. G. Douglas, F. M. Kelly, R. Wall, S. K. Sen, W. N. R. Stevens, J. Gee, J. J. Eales, J. C. Jamieson, P. Maeba, D. Burton, R. E. Williams, L. Van Caesele, P. L. Ellis, H. B. LeJohn, F. E. Hruska, I. Suzuki, N. E. R. Campbell, B. Bhakar, H. R. Coish, J. Svenne, B. Hogg, M. E. Kettner, S. Standil, A. Chow, J. Charlton, D. M. McKinnon, H. D. Gesser, E. Bock, J. A. Gerhard, D. Anderson, G. S. Clark, W. C. Brisbin, A. Turnock, J. H. Loudfoot, H. Giesinger, G. Baldwin, A. N. Arnason, J. P. McLure, R. J. Lockhart, P. K. Isaac, H. E. Welch, C. C. Lindsey, H. D. B. Wilson, M. Doob, S. M. Woods, G. Woods, D. Johnson, B. Noonan, H. C. Finlayson, R. Dowling, R. H. Betts, G. Dunn, P. Cerny, Mr. J. Kelly. (91) G. Richardson, Secretary.

Apologies: Dean D. J. McCarthy, Drs. J. M. Walker-Shay, T. Dandy, J. S. Eales, R. H. Green, Professor W. Stobie.

Before commencing the meeting the Chairman apologized to the student members of Faculty Council for their not receiving advance notice of this meeting with the mailed agenda. He stated that every effort would be made in future to assure that this did not happen again.

I. Minutes of the Meeting of February 17, 1971.

The Chairman pointed out a misleading abbreviation in the motion on page three of the typed minutes. He explained that the letters 'B.Sc.' could very easily be interpreted to mean 'Bachelor of Science' when in fact they meant 'basic science'. He recommended that this be changed to read 'basic science'. With this amendment the minutes were approved. Sen (Brisbin).

II. Matters Arising Therefrom.

There were no matters arising from the minutes.

III. Communications.

There were no communications.

IV. Election of Members to Senate.

The Chairman stated that four members were required to be elected for membership in Senate; three replacing current members whose terms expire May 31, 1971 (Drs. Ferguson, Mendelsohn and Sen) and one additional member whose position arose out of a redistribution of seats. The following nominations were received:

Dr. R. Wall - Physics; nominated by Dr. W. Stevens (Sen)

Dr. G. Clark - Earth Science; nominated by Dr. R. Ferguson (Betts)

Dr. E. Bock - Chemistry; nominated by Dr. B. Hogg (Gesser)

Dr. N.E.R. Campbell - Microbiology; nominated by Dr. H. Welch (Waygood)

Dr. N. Mendelsohn - Mathematics; nominated by Dr. R. Stanton (Kingsley)

Dr. G. Atkinson - Statistics; nominated by Dr. B. Kale, (MacPherson)

Dr. G. Gratzner - Mathematics; nominated by Dr. N. Mendelsohn (Kelly, Sr.)

It was moved that nominations be closed. Kelly, Sr. (Barker).

CARRIED
Unanimous

The Chairman requested that Drs. Davis and Noonan act as scrutineers. Elected for three year terms terminating May 31, 1974 were Drs. Wall, Campbell, Mendelsohn; elected for a two year term, terminating May 31, 1973 was Dr. Clark.

IV. Report of the Executive Committee.

The report of the Executive Committee of Faculty Council was given by Dr. Dunn.

a. Acceptability of English 301 & 305, French 301, and Computer Science 305 for Faculty Admission.

It was the recommendation of the Executive Committee that these courses be approved as being acceptable for entrance into the Faculty of Science.

The Chairman explained that the Executive Committee of Senate had approved these courses as being acceptable for entrance to the University and it was now up to Faculty Council to recommend or reject these courses for entrance into the Faculty. After a short discussion, it was recommended that:

"Computer Science 305 be acceptable for entrance into the Faculty."

CARRIED
1. Opposed

"English 301 & English 305 be acceptable for entrance into the Faculty."

CARRIED
Unanimous

"French 301 be acceptable for entrance
into the Faculty."

CARRIED
Unanimous

There was some uncertainty as to whether or not Faculty Council could advise, or would have to request, the Senate Admissions Committee that acceptance of these courses become effective in September 1971.

Leaving the exact wording to the discretion of the Chairman, the following motion was put forth Gesser (Bock):

"that the Senate Admissions Committee be advised/
requested that consideration to students presenting
these courses be given and that these courses be
acceptable for entrance to the Faculty of Science
commencing this fall (September 1971)."

CARRIED
Unanimous

b. Selection Committee for Entry to the Faculty.

It was the recommendation of the Executive Committee that Faculty Council establish a Science Selection Committee on Admission, consisting of the Dean (or his delegate), four representatives elected from Faculty Council, at least one of which was to be a student, the terms of reference being to establish academic policy and procedure on early admissions.

The Chairman explained that in all likelihood, the Faculty would accept all applicants this year, but that in future years, in order to phase in toward the enrollment limit set for the Faculty, selection of students would be necessary. It was proposed that the duty of this committee would be to formulate the criteria as to how this was to be accomplished.

It was moved Waygood (Kelly, Sr.):

"that the selection of the four representatives from Faculty Council be left to the discretion of the Dean."

An amendment to this motion was proposed Hogg (Konopasek):

"that the four persons be selected from the Executive Committee of Faculty Council."

DEFEATED

The original motion was CARRIED nem con.

A short discussion followed regarding the enrollment limits established by the Planning Committee. It was the general consensus of the members that the overall maximum figure of 18,000 students was a dubious one which appeared to lack a definite basis.

In answer to the question, was the committee an ad hoc one or a standing committee, the Chairman replied that it was to be a standing committee.

It was felt that the term 'selection' was a poor one and it was recommended that the Dean consider the name, "Standing Committee on Admission" as perhaps more appropriate. The Dean agreed to consider this point.

d. Courses for Approval.

It was moved by the Executive Committee that it recommend to Faculty Council, with subsequent forwarding to the Senate Committee on Course Approval, that the course entitled 'Drugs in Human Biology' be approved for credit by Science students, subject to the approval of the majority of members of the Committee on Courses.

Dean Cooke replied that the response from those members of the Committee on Courses that had been contacted gave no clear indication as to whether or not the course should be

approved. It was decided that Faculty Council would, at this time, decide on the appropriateness of the course. Dean Cooke gave a brief explanation as to the lateness of the request and read the prepared calendar outline of the course. The course was to be offered by the Department of Pharmacology, on the campus, for Science students, with no pre-requisites.

Dr. Welch stated that he had studied the proposed course and it was his feeling that it would be more appropriate as a half course for three credit hours rather than the proposed six hours of credit. He felt that there was a certain amount of duplication with existing courses in Science and he proposed the following motion (Campbell):

"that decision concerning acceptance of the course be postponed until further discussions with the Biological Science area."

Representatives of the other Biological areas expressed their concurrence.

The motion was put --

CARRIED
Unanimous

e. Other Matters of the Report.

(i) Policy on Consulting - it had been recommended by the Executive Committee that the Dean strike a small committee to prepare a report. This was now being done.

(ii) Core Report - it was moved by the Executive Committee that the report be forwarded to the Core Committee as the observations of the Faculty of Science. Copies of the report were available in the Dean's office for any member of Faculty Council who wished to read it.

c. General Conditions for Entry to the Faculty.

The Chairman explained that the deadline for changing our entrance regulations for the fall of 1972 was May 1, 1971. The Executive Committee, having studied our current regulations, recommended that Faculty Council approve the following revised regulations. The regulations would appear in the Registrar's 'wall chart' which is distributed to all provincial high schools.

Mathematics 300 or 301

one of Chemistry, Physics, Biology at the 300 level
three options.

- Note: I It is recommended that at least one of the options be another science at the 300 level.
- II Mathematics, being fundamental to Science, is strongly recommended at the 300 level.
- III Students should refer to the departmental section of the calendar for information on departmental pre-requisites.

The Executive Committee also recommended that the Senate Admissions Committee be requested to undertake a re-examination of the entire admissions regulations.

The Chairman went on further to explain that any of the Senate approved courses for University admission would fulfill the requirement of the three options. He stated that with the wide selection of courses now available to high school students, complete and thorough counselling would become very important. He concluded by saying that the sequential courses, that is, those taken at the three high school levels, 100-200-300, would still be the basis for University entrance.

The Council approved the revised regulations without a dissenting vote.

It was moved Gesser (Wall):

"that Faculty Council request the Senate Admission Committee to re-examine the whole question of entrance."

CARRIED

It was also moved Stanton (Barker):

"that Faculty Council advise/request Senate that it is the intention of the Faculty of Science to accept any student who presents our revised regulations for admission this fall (September 1971)."

CARRIED
Unanimous

Here again there was some uncertainty as to whether or not the Faculty could advise, or would have to request, Senate Admission Committee of our intentions. Once again the appropriate wording of the motion was left to the discretion of the Dean.

VI. New Business.

(i) In answer to a question as to the disposition of the Basic Arts Requirement, the Dean replied that in order to keep in step with the Faculty of Arts, the regulation was on the agenda of the Liaison Committee which was meeting in one week's time.

(ii) It was pointed out that the reason for starting classes at 8:30 a.m. and not 8:40 a.m. was that it would allow students to attend a ten minute chapel service between 9:20 a.m. and 9:40 a.m. However, it had been learned that this service was no longer offered at this time so there appeared to be no need for the early morning commencement of classes.

Therefore it was moved Gesser (Cooke):

"that classes in the Faculty of Science
begin at 8:40 a.m. instead of 8:30 a.m.
starting September 1971."

CARRIED
3 OPPOSED

This motion would be taken to the Liaison Committee for support from the Faculty of Arts before being passed on to Senate for consideration.

There being no further business the meeting adjourned at 4:47 p.m.

GR:wac

May 14, 1971

Inter-Departmental Correspondence

DATE April 15, 1971

TO ALL MEMBERS OF THE FACULTY COUNCIL OF SCIENCE

FROM DR. R. D. CONNOR, DEAN OF SCIENCE

SUBJECT:

Dear Colleague,

A meeting of the Faculty Council is called for Monday, April 26th at 2:40 p.m. in Room 207 Buller Building. At this meeting four members of Senate will be elected, the terms of Drs. Ferguson, Mendelsohn and Sen having expired. The fourth (additional) position arises by redistribution of seats. Continuing members with their retiral dates are as follows:

Drs. Betts, Isaac, Standil (31 May 1972)
Drs. Stanton, Gee (31 May 1973)

To have three retirals each year would necessitate three appointments for three-year terms and one for a two-year term. Please give this matter your earnest attention. Please ensure your nominee would accept office if elected.

It has been suggested that the Dean's Office might circulate the names of those proposed with a brief curriculum vitae. I am advised that time would not permit this on this occasion, but proposers could come prepared to give a résumé of the career of their nominee so that some information might be available to those who do not know the nominee personally.

The Executive will be recommending on the acceptability of English 301, English 305, French 301 and Computer Science 305 as "non 300" level courses for admission to the Faculty. The Executive of Senate will be recommending their insertion in the Senate approved list of courses for entry to the University.

Course outlines are available in Mr. Richardson's office (Room 206 Allen Building) for examination by any member of the Faculty.

Important questions as to Admissions Policy will be discussed at this meeting also. The Agenda is as follows:

AGENDA

1. Minutes of meeting of February 17th, 1971.
2. Matters arising therefrom.
3. Communications.

(over)

4. Election of Members to Senate.
5. Report of Executive Committee:
 - (a) Acceptability of English 301, English 305, French 301 and Computer Science 305 for Faculty Admission.
 - (b) Selection Committee for entry to Faculty.
 - (c) General conditions for entry to Faculty.
 - (d) Courses for approval.
 - (e) Other matters of Report.
6. New Business.
7. Adjournment.

Please arrange to be present.



R. D. Connor
Dean

RDC/sc