

October 19, 1972

Eleventh Meeting

THE UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA

**Inter-Departmental Correspondence**

DATE October 11, 1972

TO ALL MEMBERS OF FACULTY COUNCIL

FROM Mr. G. Richardson, Secretary - Faculty Council

SUBJECT:

*GR.*

The eleventh meeting of the Faculty Council has been called for Thursday October 19, 1972 at 2:40 p.m. in the Senate Chambers. The agenda for the meeting is as follows:

AGENDA

1. Approval and adoption of the minutes of the second special meeting, September 7, 1972 and the minutes of the regular meeting of May 1, 1972.
2. Matters arising therefrom.
3. Communications.
4. Introduction of new staff.
5. Comments, if any, on the Course Change material sent to all members.
6. Senate report - Professor W.R. Wall. (*Mendelsohn*)
7. Report from the Executive Committee: (*Vail*)
  - (i) Approval of courses from outside Science for Science credit.
  - (ii) Other business emanating from the October 13, 1972 Science Executive meeting.
8. Other Business. *Reids motion re library.*

Minutes of the eleventh meeting of Faculty Council held in the Senate Chambers on Thursday, October 19, 1972 at 2:40 p.m.

Members Present: Dr. R.D. Connor, Chairman; Professors P.K. Isaac, J.M. Vail, B. Ash, I. Cooke, D.G. Douglas, S.K. Sen, H.R. Coish, M.E. Kettner, E.R. Waygood, I. Suzuki, H. Lees, D.N. Burton, H. Halvorson, J.H. Loudfoot, A. Olchowecki, J.A. Wright, T. Booth, J.C. Rauch, J.G. Eales, R. Mount, A. Giesinger, G. Baldwin, J.C. Jamieson, H.D. Gesser, D.M. McKinnon, K.K. Ogilvie, R. Hawirko, S.K. Sinha, C.E. Palmer, R.R. Riewe, S.M. Woods, G.H. Dunn, H.W. Dückworth, R.G. Stanton, N.S. Mendelsohn, P. Collens, D.A. Young, P.R. King, B.K. Kale, G.F. Atkinson, J.F. Brewster, J. MacKay, G.O. Losey, P. Laznicka, R.S. Harrison, D.H. Hall, W.C. Brisbin, B.H. Shephard, A. Chow, R. Bochonko, R.J. Lockhart, J. Svenne, N. Davison, W. Falk, Messrs. D. Jonasson, J. Boyd, Miss Zoe M. Frankel, Messrs. G. Steindel, J. Perrin (65) G. Richardson, Secretary.

Regrets: Professors H.B. LeJohn, S. Standil, L. Van Caeseele, A.H. Morrish, R.H. Betts, B.D. Macpherson, (Mrs.) M.E. Thomas, J. Reid, M. Doyle, Mr. G.R. Klassen.

Before beginning the meeting the Chairman announced the death of Dr. N. James who had been the Head of the department of Microbiology during the mid-1950's and requested anyone with Biographical material to contact Dr. N. Campbell of Microbiology.

1. Approval of the minutes of the tenth regular meeting and the second special meeting.

The minutes of the tenth meeting of May 12, 1972 were approved as circulated. Atkinson (Boyd).

With regard to the minutes of the second special meeting held on September 7, 1972, the Chairman wished to clarify a statement that he had made. He had stated that Senate had set up a committee to study tenure policy, when in fact it was the Senate Executive that had recommended to

Senate that they set up such a committee. The Senate has now set up the committee referred to.

With this clarification, the minutes were approved. Brisbin (Kale).

11. Matters Arising from the Minutes.

There were no matters arising from these minutes which were not agenda items.

111. Communications.

There were four items of communication.

- (i) The secretary read a letter of appreciation from Vice-President W.M. Sibley, at the recommendation by Faculty Council that he be made Dean Emeritus of the Faculty. The Chairman added that he had just received a letter from the President indicating his approval of Dr. Sibley's appointment.
- (if) A letter from the Dean of Students, Dr. Ash, was read by the secretary. The purpose of the letter was to bring to the attention of staff and students the existence of the Group for Academic Innovation. (G.A.I.N.), formerly the Presidential Advisory Committee on Academic Innovation.
- (iii) The secretary noted that Dr. P. Kakela, the representative from the Geography Department to Faculty Council, has been replaced by Dr. V. Smil. Professor Stene continues his membership.
- (iv) The secretary brought to the attention of the members the fact that the Graduate Student membership lists were in his office and were available for borrowing by any of the members.

IV. Introduction of New Staff.

Biology Teaching Unit - introductions by Dr. T. Booth.

Dr. C. Palmer

Dr. R. Riewe

Dr. T. Dick

Microbiology - introductions by Dr. I. Suzuki.

Mr. G. Klassen

Dr. Suzuki also welcomed back Dr. H. Lees who had just returned from a year's Sabbatical Leave.

Zoology - introductions by Dr. H.E. Welch.

Dr. S. Sealy

Dr. Welch also introduced Dr. T. Miura, from the University of Kyoto in Japan who is spending his year's Sabbatical Leave with the Department of Zoology. Dr. Miura is a research associate of that University and will be working with Dr. F. Ward at the West Blue Lake Field Station.

Chemistry - introductions by Dr. G. Dunn.

Dr. H.W. Duckworth

Earth Sciences - introductions by Dr. W.C. Brisbin.

Dr. P. Laznicka

Mr. R. Harrison

Computer Science - introductions by Dr. R.G. Stanton.

Dr. M. Doyle

Dr. F. Burkowski

Dr. C. Zarnke

Dr. Stanton also welcomed back Dr. D.A. Young from a year's study leave.

Mathematics - introductions by Dr. N.S. Mendelsohn.

Dr. R. Quackenbush

Dr. M. Makkai

Statistics - introductions by Dr. B.K. Kale

Dr. A. Bentley

Dr. J. Brewster

Dr. R. MacKay

Students - introductions by Mr. J. Boyd.

Mr. Bob Sims  
Mr. P. Panchuck  
Mr. Gordon Steindel

V. Course Changes.

The Chairman asked Dr. Cooke to speak to this item. Dr. Cooke went through the distributed material and exemplified the various changes. Several revisions had been made to the proposals just prior to their being distributed and these had not been incorporated into the material.

Firstly, the department of Zoology program and entrance requirements which had been handed out to the members at the start of the meeting were to be included with the proposals.

Secondly, there were several minor changes to be made to the proposals themselves. These were the following:

- (1) Page two, course 1.2AC (Introductory Ecology).

The course description given was incorrect and should be replaced with the description given to course 22:229 in the current calendar.

- (2) Page 5, Botany Honours Programs.

The following sentence is to be added to the stated requirements:  
"Each year will consist of 30 credit hours".

- (3) Page 7, course 74:4XZ

The name of this course is to be changed to : 'Operating Systems'.

- (4) Page 9, course 13:3AA

The name of this course is to be changed to: 'Stars and Stellar Systems'.

- (5) Page 13, Honours Option A Yr. IV

The first course listed (555) is incorrect and should be 444.

Dr. Brisbin requested that whoever will be instructing course 16:1XX

that he check with the department of Earth Sciences in the first instance to ensure that there is no overlap of course material. Dr. Gesser wished to know if there was a lab intended for this course. Dr. Sen replied that there was none at this moment but that in future, the demonstrations now scheduled could develop into labs.

There being no more questions, it was moved by Dr. Waygood (Brisbin) that:

"the course change proposals as amended and with the additional information be forwarded to the appropriate Senate committee."

Unanimous.

The Chairman stated that once all the course change material had been collected by Senate it was to be sent to all faculties and schools for study by each department. He stressed that it was very important that the Science departments study these changes with a view to anything that might affect their offerings. Should any changes be found that would involve any of the departments, the Chairman asked that the Heads alert Dr. Cooke.

#### VI. Senate Report.

In the absence of Dr. Wall, the Senate report was given by Dr. Mendelsohn.

The report covered the Senate meeting of October 10, 1972. Speaking on that meeting Dr. Mendelsohn mentioned the following items:

(i) The Senate Executive had recommended interim procedures regarding the upcoming tenure deliberations. These interim procedures were similar to those recommended by the Science Faculty Council with the exception of one amendment, the thrust of which was to require the Board to give reasons for the non-granting of tenure if asked.

(ii) The Senate Executive had requested Senate to nominate three senators for membership on a joint Board of Governors/ Senate Committee to study tenure policy.

Some members of Faculty Council were of the view that the membership of

this committee was not entirely representative. It was pointed out that for a committee that was to decide on a very academic matter, there were more non-academic people than academic proposed.

Discussion of this committee led to further discussions on matters of tenure and in particular to a letter of the President's which had been read at the Senate question and answer period and which had not been discussed by Senate. This letter outlined a statement concerning the President's intentions to recommend to the Board of Governors numerical limits on tenured appointments, in addition to answering three specific questions on tenure asked by Professor R.A. Johnson. The Chairman stated that one of the reasons that no questions were asked regarding this letter, was that the President's verbal statements were very detailed and complex and required detailed study of the text (not then available) to be fully understood. Several members expressed concern over the fact that these recommendations would be going to the Board of Governors before having been discussed in Senate. The Chairman replied that on matters such as these it would be very unlikely that the Board would decide unilaterally upon any course of action without first consulting with Senate.

(iii) Senate Executive had received a letter from the Science Senior Stick seeking clarification on the status of the student senators. John Perrin added that the reply he had received indicated that U.M.S.U.'s decision not to elect the student senators was likely to be reversed and that elections of the senators would proceed shortly.

#### VII. Report of the Science Executive Committee.

Because of the absence of Dr. Dunn at the last Executive Committee meeting, Dr. Vail agreed to present the report.

Dr. Vail stated that the meeting of October 13th had centred about the course change proposals, spending most of the time on this matter. Discussions had just begun on the proposed new Honours regulations but there was nothing to report on them as yet. He did say that Dr. Reid of the Science Library committee brought forth a motion from that committee that he wished discussed at the meeting but there had not been time. The Executive



committee agreed to forward the motion to Faculty Council for discussion. Because Dr. Reid was unable to attend this meeting he called upon Dean Isaac to speak to this motion.

The motion forwarded by the Science Library committee read:

"that there be a forty-eight hour loan period for all journals, bound and unbound (current issues excepted) on an experimental basis until September 1973; current issues being defined as anything less than a month old and these may not be loaned."

It was moved by Dean Isaac (Cooke) that:

"the motion be approved".

Dr. Kale indicated that his department used to have a four week loan period when it was located in the Fletcher Argue building and worked through the Dafoe Library. This, he said, was a much more suitable arrangement for his department. He noted that some departments particularly the larger ones, might find such a period too long and unnecessary and because of this he would like to propose a method of differential classification. With this in mind he moved the following amendment. (G. Losey).

"that the period of two days be substituted by one week and in the interim the feasibility of using differential rules be studied".

In the following discussion it was indicated that many departments did not require and didn't want any journals removed from the Library. These departments felt that there was adequate study space in the Library for anyone who wished to read through a particular journal. These departments also felt it was more important that a journal be available than being able to remove it. Dr. Kale pointed out that many Statistics journals required a detailed study just to determine if the article was worthwhile to remove. Such study alone would take an instructor away from his office for a lengthy period of time and this he felt was very unfair to students who expected to find him available in his office. Dr. Kale noted that a Statistics journal was as important to a statistician as was say a test tube to the chemists; no one would think of putting a limit on the time that a test tube could be removed from a storeroom!

Dr. Davison, who had attended the Science Library meeting where this motion was made, stated that the committee had attempted to satisfy the requirements of both the large and small departments. The matter of differential classifications had been discussed and it had been pointed out by the Library representatives that this would cost more money to implement than was available to them.

The suggestion that lists of journals that were 'removable' be submitted to the Library by the departments was discussed as a possibility of solving this problem. It was at this point that Dr. Kale agreed to withdraw his amendment and in its place the following amendment was moved by Dean Cooke (Isaac):

"that the Science Library staff be asked to study the possibility of differential rules for the time period of release of journals from the Library and report back to the Science Faculty Council by November 20, 1972".

Carried.

1 Against.

The vote was taken on the original motion as amended.

Carried.

5 Against.

Before moving on to the next item, a question from the floor was raised regarding access to the Library and the decision not to provide keys to all those who wished to use the Library during the off hours. The Chairman answered, indicating that for reasons of security only as few keys as possible were being issued for the new building. The need for extended use in the Library by Science staff had been recognized however, and as a partial solution the Library hours were being extended. During these extended hours a study was going to be conducted to determine the number of people making use of this. The Chairman concluded by stating that his office was still actively pursuing this matter.

#### VIII. Other Business.

The Chairman requested Council's agreement to make a change to the Faculty

By-Law in order to include in Council's membership Deans and Professors Emeriti.

It was moved by Dr. Dunn (Welch) that:

"the Faculty By-Law be changed to include in Council's membership Deans and Professors Emeriti".

Unanimous.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 5:08 p.m.

GR:dmc.

November 2, 1972