

April 10, 1973

Thirteenth Meeting

Inter-Departmental Correspondence

DATE April 2, 1973

TO ALL MEMBERS OF FACULTY COUNCIL OF SCIENCE

FROM G. Richardson, Secretary - Faculty Council

SUBJECT:

GR

The thirteenth meeting of the Faculty Council of Science has been called for Tuesday, April 10, 1973 at 2:40 p.m. in Room 207 Buller Building.

(Note: The fourteenth meeting of Faculty Council has been scheduled for Wednesday, April 25, 1973 at 2:40 p.m. in Room 207 Buller Building, the agenda for which will follow the meeting of Faculty Council on April 10, 1973).

AGENDA

1. Approval of the minutes of the twelfth meeting held on March 19, 1973 and the Special meeting held on March 26, 1973.
2. Matters arising therefrom.
3. Communications.
4. Nominations for membership on Senate and Executive Committee of Faculty Council (see attached letter).
5. Nominations for membership to the Board of Graduate Studies (see attached letter).
6. Report of the Executive.
7. Report from Senate.
8. Continued discussion on the statement from the Committee on Courses.
9. Statement from the Dean regarding the Deanship of the Faculty.
10. Other business.

GR/lm

Minutes of the thirteenth meeting of Faculty Council held on Tuesday, April 10, 1973, at 2:40 p.m. in Room 207 Buller Building.

Members Present: Dr. R.D. Connor, Chairman; Professors R. Saint-Denis, J. Reid, G.R. Waygood, G.C. Robinson, J.M. Vail, W.R. Wall, G.A. Gratzner, R.W. Quackenbush, N. Losey, G.O. Losey, H.E. Welch, F.J. Ward, G.I. Paul, B.K. Kale, K. Subrahmanian, I. Suzuki, R.M. Lyric, R. Hawirko, D.N. Burton, H. Halvorson, H.W. Duckworth, J.C. Jamieson, K.K. Ogilvie, J. Silins, C. Platt, P.D. Loly, W.N.R. Stevens, W.G. Baldwin, H.D. Gesser, N.E.R. Campbell, J.G. Eales, J.W.T. Dandy, J.C. Rauch, K.W. Stewart, M. Aleksuk, J. Berry, J.A. Gerhard, J.P. McClure, J.L. Charlton, A. Chow, B.R. Henry, D. McKinnon, P.L. Ellis, S.M. Woods, R.J. Lockhart, A. Giesinger, R.S. Harrison, W.C. Brisbin, D.H. Hall, H.C. Finlayson, P.W. Aitchison, M. Doob, J.H. Loudfoot, D. Punter, D.A. Young, E. Bock, G.E. Dunn, J.H. Gee, P.R. King, J.C. Muzio, A. Olchowecki; Messrs. D.F. Patterson, G. Slobodian, S. Jeffries, J. Perrin, P. Panchuk, (66), G. Richardson, Secretary.

Regrets: Professors D.A. McCarthy, N.S. Mendelsohn, B. Macpherson, W. Stobie, H. Lees, W.M. Sibley; Messrs. G. Steindel, D. Jonasson, J. Boyd; Miss K. Cobor.

I. Minutes of the Last Meeting.

The minutes of the twelfth meeting held on March 19, 1973, were approved. Brisbin (Young).

With regard to the minutes of the Special Meeting held on March 26, 1973, the following motions for their change were put forth:

Aitchison (Slobodian) on page 5, item (iii) second paragraph, "that the words 'There was an overwhelming majority of Faculty Council members' be deleted and replaced by 'The majority of those present were'."

Carried
Nem. Con.

Aitchison (Slobodian) the underlined motion on page 5,
"that the words 'one opposed' which appear under
the motion be deleted".

Defeated

With these amendments, the minutes were approved. Bock (G. Losey).

In a short discussion that followed, it was suggested by Council that the results of the motions recorded in the minutes be made uniform and they suggested the four notations:

- (i) Carried. Nem. Con.
- (ii) Unanimous.
- (iii) A full count giving the number in favour, the number against and the number of abstentions.
- (iv) Defeated.

The Dean was to exercise his discretion as to which form was to be used in particular instances.

II. Matters Arising Therefrom.

The Chairman introduced the new member to Faculty Council, the Science Librarian, Mr. D. Patterson.

There were no other matters arising from the minutes that were not already covered in the agenda.

III. Communications.

The Secretary read a note of appreciation from Mrs. E.R. Waygood for the flowers sent to her while in hospital by Council.

IV. Nominations.

The Chairman explained that at this meeting nominations would be accepted for positions on Senate and the Faculty Council Executive Committee. Nominations would cease at the end of the meeting. At the next meeting, scheduled for April 25, 1973, elections would take place. The Dean asked if this procedure, the same as in previous years, was agreeable to Council. The Council concurred without formal vote.

The following nominations were made:

(i) To Senate:

Nominated	By	Second
D. Young	G. Gratzner (Mathematics)	P.R. King (Computer Science)
K. Subrahmanian (Mr.)	B. Kale (Statistics)	B. Macpherson (Statistics)
D. Hall	W. Brisbin (Earth Sciences)	W. Stevens (Physics)
T. Dandy	G. Eales (Zoology)	K. Stewart (Zoology)
R. Dowling	J. Vail (Physics)	J. Loudfoot (Chemistry)

It was moved by Professor Waygood (Kale) that:

"nominations close".

Carried

Nem. Con.

(ii) To Executive Committee:

Nominated	By	Second
D. Burton (Associate)	N. Campbell (Microbiology)	J. Rauch (Zoology)
B. Henry (Associate)	D. McKinnon (Chemistry)	A. Chow (Chemistry)
C. Anderson (Associate)	D. Hall (Earth Sciences)	W. Brisbin (Earth Sciences)
K. Stewart (Associate)	J. Rauch (Zoology)	R. Waygood (Botany)
R. Quackenbush (Assistant)	G. Gratzner (Mathematics)	R. Lockhart (Mathematics)

It was moved by Professor Welch (Wall) that:

"nominations close".

Carried

Nem. Con.

V. Nominations to the Board of Graduate Studies.

The Chairman explained that Faculty Council was requested to nominate a minimum of two persons who were currently members of the Faculty Council of Graduate Studies and of this Faculty Council whose names would go forward to the Faculty Council of Graduate Studies in order that one might be elected

to serve on the Board of Graduate Studies.

Nominated were: Dr. K. Ogilvie by Dr. Dunn (Duckworth)
Dr. A. Turnock by Dr. Brisbin (Hall)
Dr. G. Robinson by Dr. Reid (Campbell)
Dr. D. Young by Dr. King (Gratzer)
Dr. M. Doob by Dr. Gratzer (Quackenbush)

It was moved by Dr. Quackenbush (Henry) that:

"nominations close".

Carried.

Nem. Con.

VI. Report from the Executive.

The report from the Executive Committee was given by Dr. Dunn.

The Executive Committee had received a request from the Committee on Courses that two chemistry courses, 2:220F and 2:235F, be approved for teaching, in French, at St. Boniface College commencing this fall. The Executive recommended their endorsement. It was noted by Dr. Dunn that these courses were pre-requisite for Medicine and Veterinary Science and that St. Boniface already taught several Science introductory courses in French. It was envisaged that a member of St. Boniface would become a member of the Chemistry Departmental Course Committee if this request was approved.

It was moved by Dr. Dunn that:

"Faculty Council endorse this request".

Carried.

Nem. Con.

The second item to emanate from the Executive Committee was the proposed regulation governing the taking of a Second Degree. A list of the regulations had been distributed prior to the meeting and Dr. Dunn took the members through the proposals. It was agreed that each item would be discussed separately.

Item (a)

The Council noted that the first proposal involved a change in the number of required courses for the Second Degree from 10 to 15, and wishes to know the background and rationale for this move, especially since several speakers felt that the first year of the B.A. or B.Sc. General Degree was a common year. The Chairman replied that he regretted that Dr. Cooke was unable to attend to speak to these proposals emanating from the Committee on Student Standing. The situation was that

the existing regulations were in a highly ^{confused} composed state, necessitating the Committee virtually considering each request for registration for a Second Degree as a special case. There were now twenty-one applications pending and some tidying up was imperative. Why anyone would wish two General Degrees had been one consideration. It was the Committee's view that when a Second Degree was desired, it should be earned independently. In view of the desire for detailed discussion, the Chairman suggested that perhaps this meeting could be used for the discussion of the regulations and at the next, the background material and rationale would be supplied and the regulations dealt with. This was agreed to by the members.

Dr. Waygood requested that the words "the University of" be inserted in the rubric of (a) before the word "Manitoba". It was agreed that this would be done.

Some members wondered why one regulation could not cover all items (a), (b) and (c). The Chairman replied that there were just too many cases (twenty-one pending at this time) and there was too great a variation in circumstances for one regulation to cover all.

In the case where a student wishes to obtain a Second B.Sc. General Degree, it was felt that there could be many courses common to the two degrees; would the student be required to repeat these? The Chairman stated that it was intended that the Department could waive some of the course prerequisites but the student would still have to complete fifteen courses different from those used for the First Degree.

Dr. Gratzner stated that he wished to offer an amendment to (a) which he would move at the next Faculty Council meeting; namely, that the fifteen additional courses be changed to ten. This was seconded by Dr. Laale.

Item (b)

In answer to the question to account for the difference between thirty credit hours and 90 or more credit hours, the Chairman replied that several departments have courses that are common for both their General and Honours programme; the Honours programme requiring a

higher standard of performance. The number of common courses, therefore, would account for the spread in credit hour requirements for the Honours Degree. It was intended that the Departments would make the decision as to the number of credit hours required by a particular student.

It was proposed by Dr. Gratzner that the regulations read in terms of courses rather than credit hours; however, the meeting agreed to keep the terminology unchanged in this regard. Dr. Gratzner also wished to offer another amendment: in Items (b) and (c) he wished to propose that 90 credit hours be changed to 60 credit hours. This amendment was seconded by Dr. Wall.

In reply to the question, did these regulations preclude departmental discretion, the Chairman stated that this was certainly not the intention of either of the two committees through which these regulations had passed. The Departments have a very substantial input in determining the Honours regulations and their application, although the General Degrees are awarded by the Faculty and controlled by it. Once the General Degree regulations were established, however, it was expected that all Departments would follow them.

Preference was again expressed by several members for advanced standing for appropriate courses taken in the First Degree. It was suggested that Departments might be given the discretionary power to waive up to 30 credit hours for a student wishing to obtain a Second Degree. However, on the other hand, it was pointed out that the awarding of a degree indicated that a certain amount of work had been satisfactorily completed; it would not be just for certain students to have to do less than others to receive the same award.

In concluding the discussion, it was moved by Dr. Welch (Henry) that:

"the document be referred back to the Committee from whence it came (Student Standing Committee)".

Carried.

~~1 Opposed~~

~~1 Abstained~~

... 7

There was a brief discussion on the value of forming an entirely new committee to study these regulations, but this idea was dismissed, the feeling being that it was a poor precedent to develop. The report should be returned to the original committee for explanation and expansion.

It was agreed that Items 7 and 8 of the agenda would be deferred until the next meeting of Faculty Council on the 25th of April.

IX. Statement from the Dean.

The Dean read a statement that he had prepared regarding the future of the Deanship of the Faculty. A copy of this is attached to the minutes.

The meeting adjourned at 4:16 p.m.

GR/lm

April 17, 1973

For several reasons I have decided to ask for a year's leave commencing on the 1st of September, 1973, and this has been granted by the Board of Governors.

Having had ten years in office, I felt this was a good opportunity to request a review according to the guidelines approved by Senate and Board. I have, therefore, requested the review and have relinquished my position as Dean-without-term, effective the same date. Should the Committee of Review recommend that I be invited to resume office, I have advised the President that I would accept the Deanship for a term of five years. Should the Committee feel it desirable to change the Deanship, this can be done at this time with the least inconvenience or difficulty to all concerned. I am pleased to say that the Board has agreed to my request for a review.

R. D. Connor