

Minutes of the 76th meeting of Faculty Council of Science held Monday, April 17, 1995 at 2:00 p.m. in Room 245 Senate Chambers.

Present:	J.C. Jamieson (Chair)	A. Larocque
	M. Abrahams	R. Lebrun
	A.N. Arnason	H.LeJohn
	K. Barker	P.C. Loewen
	J. Brewster	N. Losey
	D.N. Burton	R. Lyric
	S. Cheng	B. Macpherson
	N. Chow	C. Platt
	G. Clark	D. Punter
	A. Ducas	G. Robinson
	H.W. Duckworth	D. Scuse
	R. Evans	S. Sealy
	I. Ferguson	A. Secco
	B. Ford	M. Shaw
	A. Gerhard	D. Singh
	D. Gillis	B. Southern
	L. Graham	R. Sparling
	N. Halden	M. Sumner
	B. Hann	G. Tabisz
	G. Hickling	A. Thavaneswaran
	J. Hoskins	R. Thomas
	F. Hruska	J. Vail
	E. Huebner	H. Williams
	J. Jovanovich	G. Woods
	K. Keen	
	G. Klassen	

Regrets:	J. Gee	Visitors:	L. Chartier
	P. Loly		J. McConnell
	J. Reid		

Dean Jamieson reminded Faculty Council that, for any matter requiring a substantial change, two meetings of Faculty Council are to be held. A draft motion was circulated along with a revised paragraph on page 8 relating to course revisions.

It was moved and seconded:

"That Faculty Council of Science approve the creation of University I and a 3-year B.A./B.Sc. as described in the document entitled 'Plan 2000, University I and a Proposal for a 3-year B.A./B.Sc. Programme' dated April 13, 1995, with the understanding that minor changes can be made at a later date."

There was a note for clarification. "It is understood that the current 3-year B.Sc. degree will be phased out when the 3-year B.A./B.Sc. is introduced. In addition, it is also understood that there will have to be changes made to Faculty of Science regulations to harmonize them with Arts. Some of these changes may require approval at later meetings of Faculty Council."

Dean Burton mentioned that the clarification implies that this will be a new degree. In fact, it will not, but there will be changes made to the existing 3-year B.A. and B.Sc. degrees.

An editorial change was suggested to page 9 of the document; changing heading Future Programmatic Issues to Future Program Issues.

The following amendment to the main motion was moved and seconded:

"Subject to the availability of resources and in particular that average teaching and committee loads in individual departments not be increased."

Dr. Vail, as the mover, spoke to the amendment.

There was a question as to whether the program would be resource neutral. Dean Jamieson could not predict what resources might be needed. The coordinator position could be a new position or an existing position with duties changed. There may no longer be a need to have service courses.

Dr. Duckworth spoke against the amendment mentioning that it will be impossible to tell whether any change in resources would be due to the University I proposal.

Dean Gerhard stated that there were other mechanisms available to control costs, such as limits on enrolment. We will not have major cost implications with University I.

Dr. Duckworth stated that it would be unwise to amend the main motion.

The vote was called on the amendment: in favour 5; against many. Amendment was **defeated**.

The vote was called on the main motion: 1 opposed; motion **carried**.

Meeting adjourned 2:45 p.m.